ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08210
Date Received: 01/12/12
Date Extracted: 01/17/12
Date Analyzed: 01/17/12
Matrix: Aqueous
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

Lab ID: 201134-01 x1000
Data File: 201134-01 x1000.056

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

		Lower	$_{ m Upper}$
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	99	60	125
Indium	96	60	125
Holmium	95	60	125

Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	455,000
Nickel	293,000
Copper	28,100
Zinc	<1,000
Arsenic	<1,000
Silver	<1,000
Cadmium	<1,000
Lead	<1,000
Iron Screen	2,190,000

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received:	Not Applicable	Project:	% of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134
Date Extracted:	01/17/12	Lab ID:	I2-45 mb
Date Analyzed:	01/17/12	Data File:	I2-45 mb.036
Matrix:	Aqueous	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Unnov

	Lower	Upper
% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
97	60	125
97	60	125
98	60	125
	97 97	% Recovery: Limit: 97 60 97 60

Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	<1
Nickel	<1
Copper	<1
Zinc	<1
Arsenic	<1
Silver	<1
Cadmium	<1
Lead	<1
Iron Screen	<250

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

Date Extracted: 1/16/12 Date Analyzed: 1/16/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY @ $15.56\ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Sample ID
Laboratory ID

Specific Gravity

Laboratory 1D

1.08

M08210 1201134-01

Note: The third significant digit is an estimate

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

Date Analyzed: 01/17/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

<u>Sample ID</u>
<u>Laboratory ID</u>

M08210
201134-01

5.8

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 201176-08 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	\mathbf{Sample}	$\operatorname{Recovery}$	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	103	100	67-132	3
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	100	98	73-119	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	99	96	50-144	3
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	1.43	97	96	46-148	1
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	1.47	103	97	56-167	6
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	<1	99	96	66-121	3
Cadmium	ug/L (ppb)	5	<1	100	95	86-118	5
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	102	98	76-125	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	57-135
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	99	55-128
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	99	64-136
Cadmium	ug/L (ppb)	5	99	66-135
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	67-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY

@ 15.56 °C

Laboratory Code:	(Duplicate)			
	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Specific Gravity	1.08	1.08	0	0-2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

Laboratory Code 201134-01 (Duplicate)

			Relative	
	Sample	Duplicate	Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Percent Acid	5.8	5.7	2	0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- \mathbf{x} The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

2	01134	\$65	SAI	MPLE CHA	in of C	UBI	'OD'	7	ME	01	-1	2 -	12	e.	A-1	3
Send Report To Gen	eco Tho	-13au		SAMPLERS	(signature		=>_		ě] _	7	MNAMO	No to	Æ
Company ACASCA Address 628	Coppen	work	5	PROJECT N		774			1	PO#			Stan	dard O. W.	(salas)	
dames 628 S	. HAZOR	2 50		% of	MC.T				m	282	0	R		barges out		
City, State, ZIP SeA			. (9)	REMARKS					-			a		AMPLE I		Ł
home # 206-571-60	>アゴ Fax # <u>2</u>	06 382	-4/309			\$5 17				*****				ra sample sall with i		ns
									NA M	(SS)		JEST				
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPM Diesel	TFR-Gasatine BTER by 80218	WO'Cs by 82969	SVOCs by 8870	% of Ac.2	Spec. Gar. 4.)	12 45-Cd	20	E.	Notes	į
M08216	01	1/2/12	10:30	HaD3	1					TX	メ	X	X	X		
*							1									
2							1									
										1						
						\Box	1							7		
						\dagger	†		1					İ		
							1		i							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						+	+	\vdash	+				\dashv	-		
						+	-	\dashv	+					+		-
(0 or per per per per per per per per per pe	1. 1						+			+			1	-		-
edman & Brayo, Inc.		CONATCH			PRENT	NAM	<u> </u>	_1	I^{\perp}	CO	MPA	MY		1 DATE	1	
2 Hith Avenue West	1/2			Gre	neld	Th	0,250	<u> </u>	Acu				1/22/	2 11:	22	
ule, WA 99119-2020 (206) 205-2022	incomed by:	Da	12		DAV	d			1	L e	B	2	••••	//	11	
(204) 266-2041	Thomas op:	,	*************************************				**************************************	envine.	-						-	
PAGOCAGO DOC			*********				- 11-11-1-1-	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.l	en en en				dat 2	Foc	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 23, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 12, 2012 from the % of Acid PO M08210, F&BI 201134 project. There are 8 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Miffered

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0123R.DOC